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## Should you optimize a program?

HOW LONG CAN YOU WORK ON MAKING A ROUTINE TASK MORE EFFICIENT BEFORE YOURE SPENDING MORE TIME THAN YOU SAVE?
(ACROSS FIVE YEARS)

| $\left[\begin{array}{r} 1 \text { SECOND } \\ 5 \text { SECCONDS } \\ 30 \text { SECONDS } \end{array}\right.$ | 50/day | 5/DAY | DAILY | WEEK | MASK |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 DAY | 2 Hours | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ \text { MINUES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & \text { MINUTES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \text { MINTE } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{5}{\text { SECOWDS }}$ |
|  | 5 DAPS | 12 Hovrs | 2 Hours | $21$ | $5_{\text {MINUTES }}^{5}$ | SECOWD |
|  | 4 WEERS | 3 Dars | 12 Hovrs | 2 Hours | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & \text { MINUTES } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{2}{2}$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { HOW } & 1 \text { MINUTE } \\ \text { MUCH } \\ \text { TMME } & \\ \text { YOU } & \text { MINTES } \\ \text { SHAE } \\ \text { OFF } & 3 O \text { MINUTES } \end{array}$ | $8 \text { WEEKS }$ | 6 DArs | 1 DAY | 4 hours | 1 HovR | 5 |
|  | 9 MONTH | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { WEERS } \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 6 DAPS | 21 hours | 5 Hours | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ \text { MINUTES } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | 6 MONTHS | $5 \text { WEERS }$ | 5 DAMS | 1 DAY | 2 HOURS |
| $\left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \text { HOUR } \\ 6 \text { HOURS } \\ 1 \text { Dar } \end{array}\right.$ |  | 10 MONTHS | 2 MONTH | 10 Dars | 2 DAYS | 5 Hours |
|  |  |  |  | 2 MONHS |  | 1 DAY |
|  |  |  |  |  | $8 \text { WEEKS }$ | 5 DATS |
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## Why optimize?

If you have a program that is too slow you have three ways of optimizing it:

- Do some little tweaking

You can go for a $2 \times$ speed-up

- Change the language or use a well-optimized library

You can have a $100 \times$ speed-up, in a very favorable case

- Use multiple computer

$$
n \times \text { speed-up with } n \text { computers }
$$

- Change the algorithm

No limit on speed-up!

## Numbers Everyone Should Know

L1 cache reference ..... 0.5 ns
Branch mispredict ..... 5 ns
L2 cache reference ..... 7 ns
Mutex lock/unlock ..... 25 ns
Main memory reference ..... 100 ns
Compress 1K bytes with Zippy ..... 3000 ns
Send 2K bytes over 1 Gbps network
Read 1 MB sequentially from memory20000 ns250000 ns
Round trip within same datacenter500000 ns
Disk seek (hard drive)Read 1 MB sequentially from disk (hard drive)20000000 ns
Send packet CA $\rightarrow$ Netherlands $\rightarrow$ CA
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## Turing machines

## Church Turing thesis

Everything that can be computed, can be computed with a Turing Machine.

## Strong Church Turing thesis

Everything that can be computed efficiently, can be efficiently computed with a deterministic Turing Machine.

## Turing machines

In practice
Turing machines are great at modeling large complexity classes P , EXPTIME, $L$, etc. but bad for fined-grained complexity.

## Example

Testing whether a string contains $n$ times the letter a followed by $n$ times the letter $b$ cannot be recognized by a deterministic Turing Machine in linear time.

## How to define computational complexity?

## In practice

We use a ill-defined, vague but useful notion of RAM-model:

- the memory is divided in register of limited size (64 in actual computers)
- we have a memory indexed by addresses (this allows for arrays and pointers)
- we can do basic arithmetic operation (,,$+- \times, /, \%$, etc.)
- all basic operation takes $O(1)$


## Defining algorithmic complexity

Notations

## Bachmann-Landau notation

The parameter $n$
Usually the length of the problem. On TM this is the number of bits, on RAM machines this is usually the number of machine words.

- Small o: $g(n)=o(f(n))$ means $g(n) / f(n)$ tends to 0 .
- Big $\mathcal{O}: g(n)=\mathcal{O}(f(n))$ means $g(n) / f(n)$ is bounded.
- Big $\Omega: g(n)=\Omega(f(n))$ means $f(n) / g(n)$ is bounded, i.e. $f(n)=\mathcal{O}(g(n))$
- Big $\Theta: g(n)=\theta(n)$ means $\exists c$ s.t. $c^{-1}<f(n) / g(n)<c$.
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## The parameter $n$

Usually the length of the problem. On TM this is the number of bits, on RAM machines this is usually the number of machine words.

- Small o: $g(n)=o(f(n))$ means $g(n) / f(n)$ tends to 0 .
- Big $\mathcal{O}: g(n)=\mathcal{O}(f(n))$ means $g(n) / f(n)$ is bounded.
- Big $\Omega: g(n)=\Omega(f(n))$ means $f(n) / g(n)$ is bounded, i.e. $f(n)=\mathcal{O}(g(n))$
- Big $\Theta: g(n)=\theta(n)$ means $\exists c$ s.t. $c^{-1}<f(n) / g(n)<c$.
- $\operatorname{Big} \tilde{\Omega}: g(n)=\tilde{\Omega}(f(n))$ means $\exists c$ s.t. $f(n) / g(n)<c$ for infinitely many $n$.
- Big $\tilde{\Theta}: g(n)=\tilde{\Theta}(f(n))$ means $\exists c$ s.t. $c^{-1}<f(n) / g(n)<c$ for infinitely many $n$.


## Importance of the constant

The $\mathcal{O}$ notation "hides" the actual performance in the constant:

- it is very useful to develop algorithms
- it is generally gives the fastest algorithms
- but there are cases where the constant is huge

However, keep in mind that all computers have a finite memory...

Generic algorithmic approach
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## Know the basics of algorithms

- Divide and conquer
- Sliding windows
- Dynamic algorithms
- Math-trick
- Reduction of complexity
- Data structure
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## Use the right datastructure

- Array
- Linked Lists
- Hash table
- Balanced binary tree
- Queues


## Exercises

- Sort a list of integers
- Given two strings, are they anagrams?
- Given a list of pair (people,phone) and a list (people,mail), what are the people that have both a phone and a mail?
- We define $F_{n+2}=F_{n}+F_{n+1}$ with $F_{0}=F_{1}=0$, how to compute $F_{n}$ ?
- Given a list $I$, compute $\max _{i, j}(\operatorname{sum}(I[i: j]))$

